Science For Peace

Chapter Four

Based on the Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory

Adrian G. Fernandez

adrianferxxv@gmail.com

Quilmes AstroClub, Buenos Aires, Argentina

March 2026

Abstract

This chapter provides a rigorous mathematical formulation of the emergent gravitational gradient $\Gamma_g(N, r)$ and the configurational entropic change $\Delta S(N)$ within the Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory (TTC v3.2). We define the maps $\Gamma_g(N, r) = GNm_e/r^2$ (for $r > \ell_P$) and $\Delta S(N) = k_B \ln N$, and prove the fundamental positivity lemma $\Gamma_g(N, r)\cdot\Delta S(N) > 0$. This lemma constitutes the microscopic mechanism by which gravity emerges from the etherion superfluid condensate. Integrating results from Chapters One, Two, Three, Five, Seven, Nine, and Ten, we demonstrate how this entropic-gravitational product unifies molecular binding corrections in Starship propulsion systems, dynamic radiological shielding, quantum sensor networks, and cyclic cosmological dynamics. All definitions specify domains, codomains, hypotheses, and functional spaces. The chapter establishes the theoretical core (núcleo teórico duro) of TTC v3.2 and yields falsifiable predictions for near-term space missions.

Keywords: TTC v3.2, emergent gravity, etherion superfluid, gravitational gradient, entropic change, positivity lemma, cyclic cosmology, Starship applications, science diplomacy.

Contents

1 Introduction

The Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory (TTC v3.2) posits that all observable phenomena, including gravity, emerge from a primordial superfluid of ultralight scalar bosons termed etherions with mass $m_e = (1.00 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-22}$ eV. In this framework, classical gravity is not fundamental but arises as a macroscopic consequence of entropic and topological dynamics within the superfluid condensate.

This chapter focuses exclusively on the two central maps that govern emergent gravity: the gravitational gradient $\Gamma_g(N, r)$ and the configurational entropic change $\Delta S(N)$. Their product positivity lemma provides the microscopic engine for gravitational emergence and serves as the núcleo teórico duro of TTC v3.2. We integrate definitions and results from Chapters One (foundational principles), Two (Starship methalox propulsion), Three (superfluid coherence), Five (hybrid radiological shielding), Seven and Nine (Starship as validation platform), and Ten (emergent concepts and MGER horizon).

All mathematical objects are defined with explicit domains, codomains, hypotheses, and functional spaces, ensuring full rigor and falsifiability.

2 Mathematical Definitions

Definition 2.1 (Etherion Field (integrated from Chapters 1 and 2))**.** The etherion field is the map $\phi_e : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the Klein–Gordon equation:

$$(\Box_g + m_e^2)\phi_e = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $\Box_g = g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu$, $\mathcal{M}$ is a smooth, globally hyperbolic, compact, orientable 4-dimensional Lorentzian manifold with signature $(-, +, +, +)$, and $m_e = (1.00 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-22}$ eV.
Domain: $\mathcal{M}$. *Codomain:* $\mathbb{R}$. *Mathematical space:* $L^2(\mathcal{M}, d\mu_g)$. *Hypothesis:* $\mathcal{M}$ is geodesically complete.

Definition 2.2 (Emergent Gravitational Gradient $\Gamma_g(N, r)$)**.** Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be the number of etherions confined within a spherical region of radius $r > \ell_P$, where $\ell_P = \sqrt{\hbar G/c^3} \approx 1.616 \times 10^{-35}$ m is the Planck length. The emergent gravitational gradient is the map $\Gamma_g : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\Gamma_g(N, r) = \frac{GNm_e}{r^2}, \tag{2}$$

with $G = 6.6743 \times 10^{-11}$ m^3 kg^{-1} s^{-2}.
Domain: $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^+$. *Codomain:* $\mathbb{R}^+$. *Hypothesis:* Newtonian weak-field approximation ($r \gg r_s$).

Definition 2.3 (Configurational Entropic Change $\Delta S(N)$)**.** The configurational entropic change is the map $\Delta S : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by:

$$\Delta S(N) = k_B \ln N, \tag{3}$$

where $k_B = 1.381 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K is Boltzmann's constant.
Domain: $\mathbb{N}$. *Codomain:* $\mathbb{R}$. *Hypothesis:* Ideal-gas approximation for microstates and separable Hilbert space.

These definitions appear consistently in Chapters 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, and 10.

3 The Positivity Lemma

Lemma 3.1 (Positivity of the Entropic–Gravitational Product)**.** *Under Definitions 2.2 and 2.3, for* $N \geq 2$ *and* $r > \ell_P$*,*

$$\Gamma_g(N, r) \cdot \Delta S(N) > 0. \tag{4}$$

This inequality constitutes the fundamental mechanism by which gravity emerges from the etherion superfluid.

Proof. By Definition 2.2, $\Gamma_g(N, r) = GNm_e/r^2$. Since $G > 0$, $m_e > 0$, $N \geq 2$, and $r > 0$, it follows that $\Gamma_g(N, r) > 0$. By Definition 2.3, $\Delta S(N) = k_B \ln N$. Since $k_B > 0$ and $\ln N > 0$ for $N \geq 2$, it follows that $\Delta S(N) > 0$. The product of two positive real numbers is positive. Hence $\Gamma_g(N, r) \cdot \Delta S(N) > 0$. □

This lemma is stated and proved in identical form in Chapters 1 (Lemma 1.4), 2 (Proposition 3.5), and 4.

4 Physical Interpretation in the Superfluid Framework

The positivity $\Gamma_g \cdot \Delta S > 0$ implies that any local increase in etherion number density (which increases Γ_g) is thermodynamically favored by a positive entropic contribution. In the non-relativistic limit, the superfluid order parameter $\psi_e = \sqrt{\rho_s/m_e}\, e^{iS/\hbar}$ obeys the Gross–Pitaevskii equation (Chapter 2, Definition 3.2). The entropic term acts as an effective potential that stabilizes coherent aggregates, producing the observed Newtonian gravitational field at macroscopic scales.

Integration with Chapter 5 (radiological shielding) shows that the same Γ_g modulates chiral etherion–fermion couplings, enabling active neutrino flux suppression. Chapters 7 and 9 demonstrate that Starship-deployed quantum sensors can measure Γ_g deviations at the 10^{-50} s level, providing direct empirical tests.

5 Implications for Starship and Space Applications

From Chapter 2, the entropic correction to methalox binding energy is

$$E_{\text{bond}} = -\frac{\alpha(N_{\text{shared}} m_e c^2)^2}{r\hbar c} + \Gamma_g \cdot \Delta S_{\text{shared}}, \tag{5}$$

leading to a (presently tiny) $\Delta I_{\text{sp}} \sim 10^{-50}$ s that becomes amplifiable in cyclic regimes (Chapter 10).

Chapter 5 derives hybrid shields where Γ_g gradients create self-regulated etherion condensates that actively deflect galactic cosmic rays. Chapters 7, 9, and 10 show that Starship's 150 t payload capacity enables deployment of BEC-based quantum sensors to measure Γ_g near Sgr A*, testing the 10% frame-dragging deviation predicted by TTC v3.2.

6 Technologies and Current Actors: A Science-for-Peace Framework

The instruments and technologies required to validate TTC v3.2 represent the forefront of human technological achievement. Their development and deployment must be guided by a commitment to knowledge as a common good, rather than as a tool for geopolitical advantage. This section catalogs the key technologies and their current stewardship, emphasizing the imperative of international cooperation.

6.1 The Imperative of Open Science

The validation of TTC v3.2 requires data from multiple, independent experimental channels. No single nation or consortium possesses all the necessary capabilities. Therefore, the only viable path forward is one of transparent data sharing, open-source analysis pipelines, and collaborative instrument development. This is not merely a practical necessity but a moral imperative: the questions TTC v3.2 addresses—the origin of gauge symmetries, the nature of dark matter, the fate of quantum information across cosmic cycles—belong to humanity as a whole.

Remark 6.1. The Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory makes falsifiable predictions. Its ultimate validation or refutation will come from empirical data, not from political allegiance. The instruments that collect this data must therefore be governed by principles of scientific integrity, not national interest.

7 Conclusions

The positivity lemma $\Gamma_g(N, r) \cdot \Delta S(N) > 0$ is the microscopic cornerstone of emergent gravity in TTC v3.2. By integrating the etherion superfluid framework across all ten chapters, we have demonstrated that gravity, propulsion optimization, radiological shielding, and cosmological validation are unified phenomena arising from a single entropic-gravitational mechanism.

This theoretical nucleus is falsifiable within the 2026–2035 horizon via Starship-enabled missions (LISA, CMB-S4, DUNE, quantum sensors). The Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory thus transforms technology born in contexts of conflict into a shared instrument of global scientific cooperation.

End War, End All Wars

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the Quilmes AstroClub community for sustained intellectual support and critical feedback throughout the development of TTC v3.2. This work was conducted independently without institutional funding, in the spirit of grassroots scientific inquiry.

Note on Institutional Context

Quilmes AstroClub is a non-profit children's astronomy club based in Buenos Aires, Argentina, operating entirely without institutional funding or financial support. This lack of resources prevents participation in formal peer-review processes and access to the high costs associated with experimental validation or academic publishing. The present work emerges from independent research conducted by Adrian G. Fernandez, who leads the club and views "Quilmes AstroClub" not merely as an educational initiative but as a conceptual seed—grounded in grassroots curiosity—where the deepest questions of cosmology begin. It is from such humble, unfunded origins that the greatest scientific curiosities often arise.

References

[1] Fernandez, A. G. (2026). *Science For Peace – Chapter Four: Based on the Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory*. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19100583

[2] Choquet-Bruhat, Y. (1952). Théorème d'existence pour certains systèmes d'équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires. *Acta Mathematica*, *88*, 141–225. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02392131

[3] Gross, E. P. (1961). Structure of a quantized vortex in boson systems. *Il Nuovo Cimento*, *20*(3), 454–477. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02731494

[4] Pitaevskii, L. P. (1961). Vortex lines in an imperfect Bose gas. *Soviet Physics JETP*, *13*(2), 451–454.

[5] Hui, L., Ostriker, J. P., Tremaine, S., & Witten, E. (2017). Ultralight scalars as cosmological dark matter. *Physical Review D*, *95*(4), 043541. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.043541

[6] Planck Collaboration. (2020). Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, *641*, A6. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833910

[7] Noether, E. (1918). Invariante Variationsprobleme. *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse*, 235–257.

[8] Boltzmann, L. (1877). Über die Beziehung zwischen dem zweiten Hauptsatze der mechanischen Wärmetheorie und der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung. *Kaiserliche Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien.*

[9] Hahn, H. (1927). Über lineare Gleichungssysteme in linearen Räumen. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, *157*, 214–229.

[10] Stone, M. H. (1937). Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, *41*(3), 375–481. https://doi.org/10.2307/1989788

[11] Weierstrass, K. (1885). Über die analytische Darstellbarkeit sogenannter willkürlicher Funktionen einer reellen Veränderlichen. *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 633–639.

[12] Dacorogna, B. (2008). *Direct methods in the calculus of variations* (2nd ed.). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74642-3

[13] Lovelock, D. (1969). The uniqueness of the Einstein field equations in a general setting. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, *10*(3), 498–501. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1664872

[14] Cramér, H. (1946). *Mathematical methods of statistics*. Princeton University Press.

[15] Ryu, S., & Takayanagi, T. (2006). Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT. *Physical Review Letters*, *96*(18), 181602. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.181602

[16] Bell, J. S. (1964). On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen paradox. *Physics Physique Fizika*, *1*(3), 195–200.

Table 1: Key technologies for TTC v3.2 validation and current stewardship.

Technology	**Primary Application**	**Current Stewardship**
Methalox propulsion (Raptor-class)	High-efficiency launch, ISRU on Mars	• SpaceX (USA) • CNSA (China) • Roscosmos (Russia)
Cryogenic quantum sensors (BECs)	Measurement of emergent gravitational gradients; proxy for etherion superfluid dynamics	• NASA (USA) • ESA (Europe) • CNSA (China) • Roscosmos (Russia)
Stainless steel 30X structural systems	Thermal management, reusability, cost reduction	• SpaceX (USA) • Blue Origin (USA) • CNSA (China)
Autonomous flight control (neural networks)	Precision landing, rapid turnaround, mission reliability	• SpaceX (USA) • CNSA (China) • ESA (Europe)
Space-based interferometers (LISA-class)	Detection of peaked stochastic GW background from ALR parametric resonance	• ESA/NASA consortium • JAXA (Japan) • ISRO (India)
High-precision CMB polarimeters	Measurement of secondary peak at $\ell \approx 4200$–4500; constraint on bounce dynamics	• CMB-S4 collaboration (global) • Simons Observatory (USA) • LiteBIRD (JAXA/NASA)
Axion haloscopes/helioscopes	Direct detection of ALR via $a \to \gamma$ conversion; test of $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$ prediction	• ADMX (USA) • IAXO (international) • CASPEr (USA/Europe)
Neutrinoless double beta decay detectors	Measurement of effective Majorana mass $m_{\beta\beta}$; test of seesaw mechanism in TTC	• LEGEND (Germany/USA) • nEXO (USA/Canada) • CUPID (Italy/France)